

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/544,191	03/29/2006	Koji Hayashi	89950/JLT	8137	
1333 EASTMAN K	7590 09/06/2007 CODAK COMPANY		EXAM	INER	
PATENT LEGAL STAFF ZIMMERMAN				N, JOSHUA D	
343 STATE STREET ROCHESTER, NY 14650-2201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2854		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			00/06/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/544,191	HAYASHI, KOJI		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
į	Joshua D. Zimmerman	2854		

		Joshua D. Zimmerman		2854		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appe	ars on the cover sheet	with the	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE T	THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDI	TION FOR	ALLOWANCE.		
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; (2 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in con- time periods:	o or on e follow 2) a No	the same day as filing a ring replies: (1) an amer tice of Appeal (with app	a Notice of ndment, af eal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the maili	-	· ·	ata sat farth	in the final rejection wh	vichover is later. In	
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See N	MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the pericular and the set forms of the expiration date set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 NOTICE OF APPEAL.	od of ext of the s fice later	tension and the correspond shortened statutory period to than three months after the	ding amount for reply orig	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or are a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ny exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since	
<u> </u>	action I	hut prior to the date of fi	lina a briaf	will not be entered b	0001100	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further.</li> </ol>	ther co	nsideration and/or searc	_		ecause	
<ul><li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOT</li><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application</li></ul>		•	naterially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for	
appeal; and/or	olina o	norrospondina numbor e	of finally ro	icated claims		
(d) They present additional claims without cance NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.	-	corresponding number (	of illially re	jecteu ciaims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 Cl		21 See attached Notice	of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject					(* . • = • = .,.	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the	
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment how the new or amended claims would be rejected</li> </ol>				ill be entered and an	explanation of	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:				•		
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> B.	tion, bu	t before or on the date of	of filing a N	lotice of Appeal will no	ot be entered	
because applicant failed to provide a showing of gowas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ood an	d sufficient reasons why	the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence fail showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is ne</li> </ol>	iled to c	vercome <u>all</u> rejections ι	ınder appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An exp REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	olanatio	n of the status of the cla	aims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.	
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered See Continuation Sheet.	ered bu	t does NOT place the a	pplication i	in condition for allowa	nce because:	
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(	s)	. 1		
13.  Other:				JUDY NGUY	gen	
				JUDY NGUY	EN	
			SUPE	ERVISORY PATEN	TEXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but are unpersuasive.